How Lack of Visibility Can Become a Major Theme in a Trucking Accident Case

By J. Kent Emison & Mark Emison

I. Introduction

It is common for a defendant’s liability to be less than crystal clear in an initial case call. Every attorney has handled a case that looked like a loser during the initial call, but turned out to be a very good case. For example, you get a call that indicates the client was involved in a crash with a semi-truck (bells and whistles go off); then you learn that the potential client ran into the tractor or trailer or pulled out in front of the truck (the bells and whistles go silent). Before you decline the case and screen the case for conspicuity claims.

This article will discuss theories of liability that are often overlooked such as a motor carrier’s duty to comply with conspicuity requirements, so its commercial vehicles can be seen at night; and the duty a truck driver owes to avoid parking in a dangerous location on the shoulder of a roadway.

If a trucking crash occurs at nighttime or in limited visibility, it should raise a red flag to screen the case for conspicuity claims. “Conspicuity” refers to a motorist’s ability to perceive, identify and appreciate a truck’s position and speed in the roadway, particularly at night. As used in this article, conspicuity also includes situations where the truck partially or completely blocks the view of the driver. Drivers cannot avoid lurking dangers they cannot perceive. Potential conspicuity theories should also be explored in “under-ride cases” (i.e., where the vehicle rear ends a trailer; the under-ride bar does not perform as designed; and the occupants are injured because of the under-ride). These cases can be combined with a conspicuous theory to potentially lessen the negligence of the driver in rear-ending the trailer.

Conspicuity cases often look like “dogs” at first because our clients initially appear to be at fault, and crash reports often assign our clients 100 percent of the fault. Several studies and federal conspicuity regulations turn these cases from “dogs” into viable claims that end with good results for your clients.

II. Decades of Conspicuity Studies Support that Commercial Vehicles’ Lack of Conspicuity Cause Crashes and Deaths

Two 1970s studies conducted by the University of Michigan Highway Safety Research Institute noted the high frequency of nighttime crashes involving cars and semi-trucks because drivers did not perceive the presence of the tractor-trailer in time to avoid the collision.1

In the 1980s, NHTSA conducted a three-phase study that came to similar results. Phase I concluded that rear and side collisions involving tractor-trailers were more severe at night because drivers following tractor-trailers did not see the truck at all, misjudged the distance of the truck or perceived the truck’s location too late.2 Phase II identified that reflective stripes with alternating colors outlining the side and rear perimeters of trailers was the most effective approach to making tractor-trailers more visible. Phase III concluded that reflective tape reduced conspicuity-related side crashes by 3.5 percent and reduced rear collisions by 25 percent.3

In 2001, NHTSA conducted a study that confirmed retroreflective tape and other conspicuity materials save lives. The study analyzed more than 10,000 crashes that occurred in Florida and Pennsylvania from 1997-99. The study estimated that retroreflective tape reduces rear impacts by 29 percent. It concluded that fully implemented visibility requirements for heavy trailers will prevent 7,800 crashes; 191 to 350 fatalities; and 3,100 to 5,000 injuries per year.4

III. Conspicuity Regulations

In the early 1990s, the federal government, through the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSR), adopted conspicuity requirements for commercial vehicles and trailers manufactured after December 1, 1993, in order to reduce side and rear collisions that occur due to limited visibility in dark conditions. In 1999, the FMCSRs extended this requirement to trailers manufactured after December 1, 1993, to reduce side and rear perimeters of trailers was the most effective approach to making tractor-trailers more visible. Phase III concluded that reflective tape reduced conspicuity-related side crashes by 3.5 percent and reduced rear collisions by 25 percent.3

In 2001, NHTSA conducted a study that confirmed retroreflective tape and other conspicuity materials save lives. The study analyzed more than 10,000 crashes that occurred in Florida and Pennsylvania from 1997-99. The study estimated that retroreflective tape reduces rear impacts by 29 percent. It concluded that fully implemented visibility requirements for heavy trailers will prevent 7,800 crashes; 191 to 350 fatalities; and 3,100 to 5,000 injuries per year.4

The regulations codify requirements for the placement and size of lamps and reflectors.5 Retroreflective tape must cover the length of the rear of trailers. In addition, reflective tape must be included on all sides of the trailer, as close to the front and rear as practicable.6 Tape on the sides does not have to be continuous along the entire length of the trailer, but in total, reflective material must span half of the trailer.7 The upper corners of the trailer must also have reflective tape.8

The tape on the lower edge of the rear and sides of the trailer must be no higher than 60 inches above the roadway. If the tape is higher than 60 inches, there is a risk that motorists’ headlight beams will not be high enough to reach the reflective materials, leaving motorists unable to perceive the location of the tractor-trailer.

IV. Conspicuity Issues Remain and Cause Numerous Preventable Injuries and Deaths

In the real world, many motor carriers simply do not follow conspicuity requirements, while others allow dirt and mud to accumulate on the reflective material that diminishes its effectiveness. Finally, some operators use corrosive chemicals to wash...
The reflective tape, which causes the tape to fade, wear and lose its reflectivity. Tractor-trailers encounter harsh conditions during all seasons and weather conditions. The accumulation of dirt and grime also diminish reflective materials' effectiveness and lead to conspicuity-related collisions. A 1981 study concluded that dirt and grime reduced reflective light on commercial trucks by 30 percent. The University of Michigan conducted a more extensive study in 1992 and found that washed trailers lost 60 percent of reflectiveness on their rear, while the less-frequently washed trailers lost 90 percent of reflectivity. The results were even more drastic in the winter; the washed trailers lost 70 percent of reflectivity on the rear, while the less regularly washed trailers lost 95 percent of reflective materials’ effectiveness. About 30 percent of the 10,000 trailers considered in the study were “somewhat dirty.” While clean tape reduces rear impact collisions by up to 53 percent, dirty tape only reduces collisions by 27 percent. Reflective materials should be kept clean, but unfortunately many motor carriers use harmful materials that are counterproductive. Corrosive chemicals in cleaning supplies can diminish the conspicuity materials’ reflectivity. Reputable reflective tape manufacturers instruct that the tape be flushed with water, then washed with a mild detergent solution and soft bristle brush or sponge. The manufacturers warn users not to use solvents to clean the sheeting surface; however, many trucking companies and commercial operators do not follow these warnings. As a result, the solvents diminish the illumination of the reflective tape and the tractor-trailers become hidden dangers to motorists driving in the dark.

V. Semi-Trucks Causing Crashes When Parked on the Shoulder or Roadway
If a truck stops on a roadway or parks on a shoulder and is involved in a crash, the conspicuity issues discussed above are applicable, but also additional federal, state and local rules apply.

FMCSR 392.22:
Whenever a commercial motor vehicle is stopped on a highway or the shoulder of a highway for any cause other than the necessary traffic stops, the driver of the stopped commercial motor vehicle should immediately activate their vehicular hazard warning signal flashers and continue the flashing until the driver places their vehicle warning devices.

If the truck driver did not have their flashers activated and/or no warning devices such as emergency triangles or cones, a claim should be evaluated for violation of this federal regulation.

Most trucking companies have their own rules about not stopping on the roadway or shoulder; and procedures applicable when a driver has to stop...
Yet another theory of liability is for parking too close to an intersection, stop sign or fire department. These are typically local laws or ordinances at the city or county level. Some states have enacted laws prohibiting parking near these locations. You must carefully research local laws to see if there are any applicable statutes or ordinances. One such law is Texas statute Section 543.302, which among other things prohibits parking within 30 feet of a stop sign, flashing signal or yield sign. These types of state statutes or city ordinances are common. Careful research of applicable law is required to determine whether a truck was properly parked. If there are no “legal” violations, then look to the internal rules the trucking company has adopted.

VI. Key Takeaways

• Do not rush to decline a case solely because initial reports show your client was at fault.
• If your client crashed into a commercial vehicle, particularly at night, evaluate the case for conspicuity claims.
• Investigate other factors that limited your clients’ visibility, particularly at night. If the tractor-trailer was parked on the shoulder or on the roadway, look to see if this obscured your client’s vision.
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